

Toronto, July 10<sup>th</sup>. 2014

Attn. **The Hon. Peter Mackay**  
Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada  
Parliament Building  
Ottawa, ON K1A 0R2

Dear Sir,

Further to my letter of Oct. 15, 2013, I am attaching a copy of my recent letter to Dr. Robert Walker President & CEO of AECL in response to an AECL Return to Work (RTW) letter (copy attached).

**Executive Summary:**

1. In the aftermath of the 9/11 terrorist attacks against NYC of 2001, AECL initiated ongoing long-term torture against me by falsely alleging that I was a "Security Risk". As a result "CSIS", "RCMP" and lawyers of the Department of Justice became involved.
2. From the very beginning, the Department of Justice took a hostile attitude towards the case via repeated phone threats and harassment to force me to withdraw the case from the Court.
3. The case received saturated media coverage leading the government to declare in the Parliament and through other channels that I was wrongly accused and that I suffered irreparable damages as a consequence of the false accusations.  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QGVEXG1mvw>
4. Subsequently, AECL –V.P. Dr. Gary Kugel called me at home. He apologized on behalf of AECL management and promised that I'll never be exposed to any further harassment if I might decide to go back to work for AECL. Additionally he undertook to arrange with both my supervisor and with AECL security to facilitate a personal trip that I had to take immediately to Egypt to see my dying father.
5. Despite the above-mentioned public announcements about the false allegations, the Department of Justice lawyers and AECL followed a deliberately disproportionate aggressive strategy designed to punish, humiliate, terrorize and torture me and my children.
6. Upon my arrival to Canada from Egypt on early Jan. 2002, I found out that my ex-wife and my (4) children disappeared without a notice or warning.
7. On that same evening, I received a phone call from my counsel Mr. Joseph Marken demanding me to sign a legal settlement otherwise he will remove himself out of the case. For no apparent reason, he was suddenly discourteous, insensitive and blocking my attempts to explain my new family crises. He also was unwilling to discuss anything related to the legal content of the so called settlement which was mailed to me while I was in Egypt.
8. Lacking capacity to deal with the situation and under the huge duress that stemmed from the sudden disappearance of my family and due to accumulated stress over the previous months; fear for my safety, and for the lives of my children I signed the shameful and illegal settlement that gave me back my job and \$20,000.00 in legal fees paid directly to the lawyers.

9. The settlement was deliberately silent about the issues involving the breach of the charter. It was basically designed to absolve the Government from their responsibility for the crime committed against me. Thus my security files were kept open since then as the government failed to clear my name.
10. Notwithstanding the above, it soon became clear to me that the government agencies who signed the settlement were never interested nor intended to live up to it.
11. AECL on the one hand subjected me to an ongoing pattern of harassment that I documented via corporate grievances. Additionally AECL was actively interfering in my family court proceedings and forcing me finally into a situation of being unable to fulfill my family support obligations.
12. Additionally and because of such unresolved issues concerning my security, I was subjected to further abuse in the Pembroke family court where I never had the chance to have a fair hearing due to the publicity of my case over the past period. Suffice to know that the full custody was awarded by the Pembroke family court to a schizophrenic mother thus putting the (4) children under maximum risk conditions.
13. My lawyers were routinely being harassed, intimidated and many times were forced to withdraw from representing me resulting in both financial and emotional losses.
14. Instead of addressing the issues on a win/win basis as I frequently advocated, the involved government lawyers decided to victimize the victims. Metaphorically speaking they wrapped me and my case in barbed wires subjecting me to constant pain and bleeding. I was unable to free myself out of that barbed wire without enormous suffering, bleeding and costs. Effectively they managed to suspend my legal rights as a Canadian Citizen.
15. On the other hand, because my security files remained open, I was permanently under the potential risk of being arrested if I may decide to travel anywhere in the world. Due to the current military regime in Egypt, the risk is now much higher if I may need to travel there.
16. Furthermore my family court case remains open since 2002 with documented abuse and ongoing interference by AECL in the court proceedings.
17. Both the Family Court in Pembroke and in Toronto failed to recognize the clear relationship between the ongoing family proceedings and the 9/11 false allegations made by my employer against me and how such connection impacted my ability to earn income and to fulfill my family support obligations. The court consistently refused submissions pertaining to the children's and their mother's psychological state of fear, intimidation and depression that resulted from the 9/11 trauma as if denying that would make it disappear.
18. Although the Department of Justice Lawyers have a duty not to bring Justice into disrepute, I do contend that counsel "Lois Lehman" failed to recognize her duty and did so with malice and bias because:
  - (a) I have not been treated fairly by Ms. Lehman;
  - (b) The possibility that Ms. Lehman used excessive disproportionate power to prejudice me in the disposition of my case despite all media reports that I was wrongly accused.

- (c) The possibility that Ms. Lehman discriminated against me because I am either a man, and/or that despite all media reports and parliament discussions concerning my being wrongly accused, she had a core belief that I was involved in the 9/11 attacks because I happened to have a Muslim name.
- (d) The possibility that she used my case to settle personal or professional accounts with Mr. Kopyto although I had never been involved in his professional problems.
- (e) The possibility that pressure was being brought to bear upon her by her superiors in the Government; and/or
- (f) All the above or any combination thereof

19. To summarize;

- (a) I was coerced to sign an immoral and illegal settlement under conditions of huge duress, threats, misrepresentations, deceit, false promises and without the benefit of legal counsel;
- (b) Additionally and because the settlement was also signed in bad faith, the involved parties never lived up to its terms. Not unlike an irresponsible driver who got involved in hit and run car accident, AECL denied my rights for corporate benefits when I became partially disabled as a result of their torture. Although I was still their employee, they did nothing to help me for over 9 years allowing my condition to deteriorate up to the point where I am currently suffering from PTSD.

My case is very clear, I have been victimized by the Government of Canada since 9/11/2001 despite the government awareness that I was wrongly accused and that I suffered irreparable damages. I am seeking some sense of Justice.

I respectfully urge your office to review, investigate and clear me officially.

### **Major Highlights & Details:**

1. It has taken AECL almost nine (9) years to realize that they have Health & Safety Policies & Procedures to accommodate injured or disabled employees. What kind of message does such conduct communicate to the public and to the employees?
2. AECL is tampering with my employment files. The President, Dr. Walker –as a new comer– may have not been well briefed about my employment and security files.
3. Despite the settlement of 2002, AECL was listening and taping my phone calls. While such conduct is illegal, it was also in breach of my security status. I do suspect that it was meant to provide a clear message to my supervisors and my coworkers that I am not trustworthy, that my loyalty was being questioned continuously and that I must prove daily that I am not "anti-nation" and that I am not a "Security suspect".
4. I followed all available channels according to the procedures in order to **amicably** address the several employment violations by AECL management. However members of Sr. management were consistently blocking, frustrating, delaying and sabotaging the corporate procedures.
5. I extended my hands to AECL and to all the involved government departments to settle the case amicably on a win/win basis; however they consciously chose to sabotage this objective and to proceed to destroy my life, my family, my career and my health.
6. The culture among many managers in the nuclear industry is that they can get away with anything as long as they can label it as "National security" which appears to automatically:

(a) Immunize their decisions and make it beyond accountability; (b) Constrain, direct and even dictate court decisions which turns it into an ugly tool to interfere in the Justice system.

7. AECL managed to sabotage our social peace and security as a Canadian family and turned me and my children into social outcasts in the small community of Deep River, Ontario. My children were being told that their father is the uncle of Osama Bin Laden and that "the best Muslim is the least Muslim".
8. Abusive legal processes in the Pembroke Family court combined with AECL manipulation of my health benefits made it impossible for me to stay in the community and forced me to leave my job and to leave my children in Deep River, Ontario under the full custody of a schizophrenic mother who was being fully controlled by AECL fringe groups and by AECL security agents in Deep River, ON.
9. AECL is responsible for torturing me up to the point of causing me permanent handicap due to diagnosis with PTSD during a critical stage of my life when I was looking forward to a quiet and peaceful retirement.
10. AECL joined the plans to deceive me on or around the 3<sup>rd</sup>. week of Nov. 2001 with the objective of coercing me into signing the shameful settlement. AECL Sr. Vice-President "Gary Kugler" phoned me at my home # (6135844556). During his phone call, Dr. Kugler stated that he was apologizing on behalf of AECL management. He also made a clear commitment to me that I'll **never** be subjected to any more harassment if I would go back to work again for AECL.
11. With due respect, at least three (3) senior executive officers of AECL Corporate management in Sheridan Park were directly involved in the planning and execution of the torture against me since 9/11/2001 while others in Chalk River were happily translating the plans into actions at the local level. They are:
  - i. Mr. Robert Van Adel – Ex-President & Chief CEO of AECL;
  - ii. Dr. Gary Kugler – Sr. Vice President;
  - iii. Ms. Beth. Medhurst – Sr. VP Human Resources.They were fully aware of the ongoing ordeal. In addition to my written communications to each one of them such knowledge was an essential part of their job responsibilities. In my judgment, all of them are anti-family, anti-children and religiously intolerant public servants. I believe each of them should be made legally accountable both individually and as a Public Officer.
12. On hindsight it became obvious to me that a plan had been prepared during my absence overseas. The core part of the plan was to shock me and put me under huge duress in order to force me to sign the immoral and illegal settlement upon my arrival from Egypt. My ex-spouse – who was diagnosed later as schizophrenic- was coached by 2 employees of AECL to start a family court action against me claiming -without any shred of evidence- that I was going to kidnap our (4) children and fly them to Egypt.
13. Thus I arrived to Canada on early Jan.2002 to find out that my ex-wife and our (4) children disappeared without a warning or notice. This was the same night that my counsel Mr. Markin phoned me at home demanding me to sign a settlement without offering legal counsel about the contents of the settlement otherwise he would quit the case. All my questions as well as my attempts to explain to Mr. Markin about my family disappearance were blocked by him.

14. The above mentioned evil scenario was not only employed to coerce me to sign the settlement under conditions of huge duress and fear for my safety and for the lives of my children. Most significant, it was custom-designed to force me to appear in the family court with no chance to have a fair hearing in the family court.
15. I believe the conditions of: fear for my life and the lives of my children, duress, Moral coercion, mis-presentations, false promises, incompetent legal counsel, threats and violation to Public Policy; under which the settlement was signed make the settlement null and void.
16. Shortly after my reinstatement, my supervisor (Mr. Ed Mutterback) -who boldly supported me against corporate security during the 9/11- was forced to retire and I had to report to a new supervisor. This certainly aroused my suspicions that AECL had no intention to honor the settlement and that they signed the settlement in bad faith.
17. There have been a total of three (3) official grievances (copies attached) that I filed against AECL management to document the abusive work environment which included mental abuse, retaliation and torture with blessings, knowledge and intention of the corporate Sr. management.
18. Considering the history of AECL abusive conduct towards me since 9/11/2001, I believe the grievances provide the evidence of torture as stated by the criminal code of Canada S.269.1.
19. Simultaneously, I was going through another ordeal in the Pembroke family court trying to protect my children against clear actions to control them, to steal their identities and possibly to proselyte them. To my mind, this was a violation to the children's Freedom of belief and choice. It was very alarming and disappointing to see the court was turning blind eyes about such serious concerns pertaining to forceful religious conversion of innocent children under the cover of the family law.
20. Under the full open eyes of the court and the Children Lawyer, most proceedings and court decisions were consistently against the best interest of the children and in violation to:
  - (a) Family Law Rules; Rule#2 – Primary Objectives of the Proceedings,
  - (b) The Divorce Act; sec. 16.10 Maximum contact with the children &
  - (c) The Children Lawyers Office own procedures.One of my lawyers, (Mr. Rocco Galati) noted on his application in the Superior court - File#05-CV-281592-PD2 (copy available upon request) that I'll never have a fair hearing as per sec.7 of the Charter.
21. Despite that the above mentioned application in the Toronto Superior Court which documented all abuse against me in the Pembroke family court, was never allowed to be heard. The lawyer i.e. Mr. Galati decided suddenly to abandon the case without any notice or logical reasons. This was reported to the LSUC, yet in the absence of any explanation I had no choice but to assume that Mr. Galati and 2 other associates were subjected to tremendous pressures. To my knowledge, the only possible sources of such pressures are either the LSUC and/or the Department of Justice.
22. Due to the sustained and escalating harassment on my job, I had to file an official Corporate Human Rights Complaint in writing on or around Aug. 2004. I submitted a preliminary complaint by email to AECL Corporate Human Resources VP (Beth Medhurst). To my disappointment, AECL has **never** wanted nor intended to investigate my complaint.

23. More over I copied AECL-VP , Dr. G. Kugler on that same complaint and followed up with an email requesting him to assist me, to simply stand by his commitment and to interfere to stop the ongoing torture against me. Dr. Kugler **never** responded. Instead he promptly arranged for himself a lateral transfer to Ontario Hydro in order to avoid taking responsibility for the role he played in deceiving and coercing me to sign the settlement.
24. Based on existing documents on my employment files, AECL Sr. management -due to undisclosed reasons- initiated this trauma when they requested my supervisor then (Mr. Ed Mutterback) to change his documented recommendations regarding my employment. That was a typical decision taken by Sr. management based on no logic or reason except for possibly a secret accusation and a secret verdict that had no relationship what so ever to the 9/11 terrorist attacks against NYC. I contend that the 9/11 events were nothing but a mask to maliciously cover up for poor management, racism and corruption.
25. However the honest ethical manager i.e. Mr. Mutterback, refused to forge or falsify his professional recommendations regarding my employment. AECL Sr. management decided then to exploit the fear environment created by the 9/11 events to brand me as a "security risk".
26. On the basis of AECL tip, both the RCMP and CSIS immediately modified my security classification to the **highest** risk level and interviewed me to set up the stage for AECL management to maliciously fire me and to label me **forever** as a 'security risk'.
27. The Department of Justice soon got involved when I filed a law suit (File# T-2002-01) against the Government on or around Oct. 2001. I believe the Department abused me and discriminated against my case from the very beginning as follows:
  - I received at least (3) harassing phone calls at my home phone # 6135844456 from **Ms. Lois Lehmann** - Sr. counsel with the Department of Justice. During each of these calls she stated 2 clear and consistent messages: (a) AECL is the only faulty party because they fired me; (b) I **have to** withdraw my legal case from the Federal court otherwise she will make sure that my case will never go anywhere and that I'll stay in the Court till the end of my life. These harassing phone calls were mainly one-way communications because my only response was that she should direct any discussions pertaining to my legal case to my lawyers.
  - Indeed Ms. Lehman's conduct was disrespectful and was discourteous given the fact that she knew -or should have known- that the accusations were pure fabrications. Accordingly her approach was severely imbalanced from the very beginning to the point that such conduct gives rise to reasonable apprehension of bias and government interference in the legal process.
  - Ms. Lehmann continued to ignore my lawyers in order to further intimidate me and to exploit my limited knowledge –as a non-lawyer- about the rules of the law. As I stated on my letter of March 2013 to the RCMP, she sent a confusing information package to my address in Egypt with many pages of legal lingo while I was attending my father's funeral and where I had no access to my lawyers or to any other form of legal assistance. She showed her intent to intimidate me so that I would be forced to make decisions without the benefit of legal counsel. She had no respect to my rights to access Justice.
  - Despite her enormous legal training and years of experience as a senior legal counsel with the government, there is no plausible excuse for her to claim now that she did not know that the unbelievable prolonged stress of the situation caused me to fear for

my life, and for the lives of my family. I am sure she was fully aware that I was in no position to ensure the personal security of myself and my family.

- Ms. Lehman knew that I was being represented by Lawyer, Joseph Markin and by paralegal, Harry Kopyto who is a disbarred lawyer. There is no way for Ms. Lehman as an experienced senior government counsel to claim now that she didn't know that my legal counsel team was under the radars of the LSUC due to unethical conduct.
- Examining the form and the content of the settlement raises many questions regarding the ethics and morals of this attorney as a government officer who should be most sensitive to issues of morals, human rights and fairness which are corner stones of the Government public policy. By examining the attached copy of the settlement anybody can see for himself that:
  - (a) On page# 3 the settlement was signed by everybody **except** for my legal counsel;
  - (b) The signature i.e. my signature of the settlement was **never** witnessed;
  - (c) The last page titled Appendix "A" was added later, indicates that it was signed in Toronto and witnessed by a lady called "Rosemarie" whom I never knew or met because I was physically in Deep River, ON during that time after my return from Egypt. This letter is totally false.
  - (d) There is no letter signed by my counsel to testify that I was provided me with independent legal counsel regarding the contents of the settlement and my rights.
- As for the content, I pointed out in previous correspondence that the settlement is not only immoral but also illegal because it is in violation to the Charter and in particular to (s.5), (s.15) as well as violation to my mobility rights (s.6).
- With all due respect, it is obvious that the government attorney was desperate to get **anything** signed by me under any circumstances to claim that there was a settlement when in fact it was nothing but an illegal and immoral document. By adopting this approach she was playing around with and effectively blocking my basic right to access Justice.
- Most probably, Ms. Lehman used a mix of the "Stick & Carrot" strategy to persuade, intimidate or force both of my legal counsel team to neglect their duty to defend my best interest in this case. The stick is clear from the fact the both lawyers have been proven to be unethical combination by the LSUC. However I am not sure what was the carrot she used in this case other than paying them **\$ 20,000 in legal fees** for almost doing nothing?

28. Ms. Lehman in her capacity as a senior government attorney was still a court officer who had a duty of care not to bring the administration of justice into disrepute. As a government attorney she also has a duty to ensure that I was being properly represented by competent counsel.
29. I do contend that counsel "Lehman" failed to recognize her duty and did so with malice and bias because:
- (a) She knew that I was wrongly accused and that I had already suffered irreparable damages;
  - (b) She failed to handle the matter properly according to the government public policy;
  - (c) She failed to see the impact of such shameful agreement on the short and long terms for the government and for both of me and my children as a victims and wrongly accused citizens;
  - (d) She failed to address the central issues of the case pertaining to government misconduct as well as clearing my name so that I can resume my life freely like all other ordinary citizens
30. By leaving my security files open; she learned "Ms. Lehmann" put me **indefinitely** under high risk of further harassment and arrest inside and outside Canada; she was promoting further litigation with high costs to all parties. As well she was also promoting more suffering and more

damages against me and against my children on the long term. To my knowledge, such position violates government public policy and s.269.1 of the criminal Code.

31. Furthermore, although I am not a lawyer, it is my understanding that a government attorney should not accept or promote a settlement which violates the Laws of Natural Justice or the basic Freedoms & Rights granted by the Charter. It is obvious from this case that Ms. Lehmann did not comply with such basic rule/practice that guarantees all Canadians such basic rights as stated under s.6, s.7 and s.15 of the charter.
32. Given the above circumstances, I can conclude the following:
  - (a) I have not been treated fairly by Ms. Lehmann;
  - (b) The possibility that Ms. Lehmann used excessive disproportionate power in the disposition of this case despite all media reports that I was wrongly accused. Like a police officer who shoots someone whom he knew that he was being wrongly accused.
  - (c) The possibility that Ms. Lehman discriminated against me because I am either a man, and/or that despite all media reports and parliament discussions concerning my being wrongly accused, she had a core belief that I was involved in the 9/11 attacks because I happened to have a Muslim name.
  - (d) The possibility that she was taking a hostile position against my case to settle personal or professional accounts with Mr. Kopyto although she was fully aware that I am only a victim and that I had never been involved in his professional problems. However she resorted to the same bizarre old strategies of blaming, deceiving, abusing and victimizing the victims.
  - (d) The possibility that pressure was being brought to bear upon her by her superiors in the Government; and/or
  - (e) All the above or any combination thereof.
33. My family court case proved to be much more unique and complex than the standard average family breakdown case because of its direct connection to the 9/11 false allegations made against me by the government.
34. To illustrate, since the year 2006, I was unable to earn any income because I was forced by AECL to flee away from the community of Deep River, ON. As stated on my recent letter to Dr. Walker, AECL made me a social outcast and despite professional medical reports, AECL manipulated my employment medical benefits such that I was getting no income or benefits even though I was still an employee of AECL.  
Thus I was unable to pay my family support obligations out of no fault of mine since 2006.
35. Due to that obvious relationship between my family court case and the 9/11 trauma, I retained Mr. Galati on 2005 to assist me in protecting my children. I was also very concerned about the aggressive approach of the Pembroke family court to reinforce the false allegations that I was a security risk by introducing new false allegations -without evidence- that I was planning to kidnap my children. However he was soon forced to get out of the case leaving me with a detailed strong documentation of the case yet no lawyer to defend it.
36. To summarize what the Family Court in Pembroke and later in Toronto did to my family case, I am quoting below the following statement that was made by another judge in London Ontario in a recent ruling against the Children Aid Society (CAS).

*"This is an example of how the focus seems to have been based on an agenda that had no merit or proof. The CAS was focused on proving that the father was a danger to the*



*children, when in fact, all the evidence was pointing at the mother as being the source of the problems.*

*I have seen many situations over the years when a Child Protection Agency is not focused on protecting the child as they are mandated to do. Instead they focus on protecting the mother to ensure that she can obtain the lion share of the child's time and custody, often with little to no evidence to back that up as being in the best interest of the child."*

The main difference in my case was that the abuse against me in the family court was not only due to the standard gender bias agenda but also due to **"the false Security allegations against me as well as racial discrimination"**.

37. Consequently the **full** custody of the (4) children was awarded to the schizophrenic mother thus putting the (4) children under very high risk during a very critical stage in their lives.
38. The Provincial Government and the provincial family court are still unwilling or unable to accept the above simple legal fact, namely that my support obligations since 2006 should be wiped out because I could **not** earn income out of no fault of mine. Because of the 9/11 false allegations -which were never cleared publicly- AECL terminated my job constructively. I could not have any job anywhere else in the world since my security problems became a matter of public record. Thus I became unable to earn income to pay for my support obligations.
39. Both the family court and the Provincial government are aggressively enforcing wrong court decisions to deduct up to \$140,000 in arrears which were mainly accumulated because they are unwilling to accept the obvious fact that if there was no 9/11 false accusations against me, I would not have to be in the Family court to start with.
40. I advised the family court (OCJ) in Toronto about this position and requested my case to be transferred to the superior court due to lack of jurisdiction. After a great deal of reluctance and delays, the learned Judge finally agreed to my request. Yet she made another false and insulting allegation contrary to the evidence on her hands, namely that I am mentally incompetent.
41. Despite the testimony of (3) medical professionals to the contrary, the Office of Public Guardian & Trustee (PGT) –knowing that I am self represented and that I am unable to get legal aid and I can't afford to have proper legal representation- violated their own procedures and proceeded with false evidence to obtain a superior court decision to become my litigation guardian. The main objective is apparently to give the Provincial Government full monopoly over my decision making concerning the unique relationship between my family case and my 9/11 trauma.
42. By leaving my security file open; the Federal Government is effectively still falsely claiming that I am a security risk while the Provincial Government has fought in the court with **false** evidence to obtain a decision that I am mentally incompetent.
43. You can see for yourself how the government has set me up to sign a settlement that they had no intention to respect. Instead they resorted to many unfair and illegal practices making it almost impossible for me to litigate. How could I have or access vital resources (financial, emotional and mental) to legally fight all the involved powerful parties, i.e.:
  - AECL; CSIS; RCMP; LSUC, Pembroke Family Court Judges; The Children's Lawyer Office and my lawyers; PGT.....etc.

Despite the seriousness of the case, the issues and the long term suffering, my access to Justice rights are indeed blocked and became almost impossible.

44. Due to lack of resources to take proper legal action against a government that has blocked all channels while making the case too complex to be litigated I followed legal advice by submitting a complaint to the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights against the government of Canada and against the government of Egypt on Jan. 2008. The basis of my complaint were breaches of The Optional Protocol to International Covenant on Civil and political Rights , articles #

**Articles 8, Article 9(1), Article 14, Article 14(1), Article 17(1), Article 17(2), Article 23(4) and Article 26.**

45. The government of Canada –without investigation- rejected my complaint on the basis that I still have to exhaust all available legal channels. The fact was that I had no other legal channels or options available as the most powerful government agencies have combined against me and made me an enemy of the nation.

In conclusion, it is clear to my mind that:

- 1) The Department of Justice has taken a hostile position against my case from the very beginning up until now. One obvious explanation for such attitude was the fact that I dared to exercise my legal rights to defend myself and my children against the fabricated false accusations made by the government against me in the aftermath of the 9/11 events.
- 2) AECL has consistently breached the settlement that they signed on 2002.

Hence, I am respectfully requesting your office to:

- (a) Clear my name officially which is not only a matter of moral and legal requirements but also it would significantly impact and define my current and future relationship with my (4) Canadian-born children who suffered the most in order to survive in a hostile small community without any fault of their own. Additionally clearing my name is essential for the sake of the (official and unofficial) security status of the (4) children and their ambitions to be treated fairly in all their interactions (including employment) with the government of Canada. I like to be free to travel anywhere in the world without the risk of being harassed by foreign security officers.
- (b) Assign an independent committee to allow me to submit full documentation required to investigate the case and decide on possible compensation.

Respectfully,

Mohamed A. Attiah  
(647)765-7922  
925-20 West Lodge Ave.,  
Toronto, Ontario M6K 2T4